



Cooperation actions: How to bring down barriers to build sustainable partnerships among EU IJG Goal Managing Authorities

The support to cooperation actions at national level

VIVIANA RUSSO



Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri
Dipartimento per le politiche di coesione e per il sud

Ufficio V - Servizio XVIII - Coordinamento e Monitoraggio
Programmi CTE




Unione
europea



PAC CTE
2014 - 2020
PROGRAMMA COMPLEMENTARE DI AIUTI LOCALI
COORDINATE CON IL PROGRAMMA DEL TERZO
COORDINAMENTO TERRITORIALE EUROPEO 2014/2020

Cooperation Actions over the times



- Only interregional
- Optional
- Regional/local Authorities
- Other Member State

- Interregional/transnational
- Optional
- Beneficiaries
- Other member State
- Specific eligibility rules of operations depending on location

- Interregional, transnational, crossborder
- To be justified for each SO
- Beneficiaries
- Other Member States or Extra UE
- No eligibility rules of operations depending on location



Main difficulties faced by MAS



MAs not aware of the advantages of cooperation actions

Different approach among EU Regions



For ESF, separate article (Art. 15 Reg. UE n. 2021/1057)

Even if interested, MAs consider them complex and not a priority

No specific Guidelines on CA implementation at EU level

Different Languages and administrative/legislative framework may be relevant obstacles

National Authorities' role



Cooperation actions in ERDF Italian IGJ Programs



PROGETTO STRATEGICO **sinergie** SOLUZIONI INNOVATIVE PER L'INTEGRAZIONE TRA FONDI

ERDF RP	SO																					
	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	3.2	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.6	5.1	5.2	
Abruzzo	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆	◆	◆	◆							◆	◆	
Campania	◆		◆	◆								◆										
Emilia-Romagna	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆		◆		◆	◆	◆							◆	◆	◆
Friuli Venezia Giulia	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆		◆		◆	◆	◆							◆	◆	◆
Basilicata	◆	◆	◆	◆					◆			◆	◆									
Calabria	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Molise	◆	◆	◆	◆				◆	◆	◆		◆		◆							◆	◆
Puglia	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆		◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	
Lazio			◆									◆									◆	
Liguria	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆				◆										◆	◆
Lombardia	◆	◆					◆															
Marche	◆	◆	◆			◆	◆		◆	◆		◆	◆								◆	◆
PA Bolzano	◆	◆				◆			◆				◆									
PA Trento	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆		◆													
Piemonte	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆	◆									
Sardegna	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Sicilia	◆	◆		◆			◆		◆			◆								◆	◆	◆
Toscana	◆	◆	◆			◆	◆		◆		◆	◆									◆	
Umbria	◆	◆	◆	◆								◆								◆		◆
Valle D'Aosta	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆	◆		◆				◆							◆		
Veneto	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	◆		◆		◆	◆	◆				◆	◆		◆	◆	◆



How to improve CA implementation?



Interact

- Cooperation Actions Guidelines Update
- CA Mapping and BP exchanges
- Partner search support
- Specific support to pilot cases


National Authorities

- Methodological advising for CA implementation
- Exchanges at national level among MAs
- Participation to EU *networking* activities
- Support to capitalization of Interreg Results

Managing Authorities

- Specific training for involved staff
- Definition of CA budget
- Cross-sectoral working groups (OS thematic structures, MA, Interreg);
- CA detailed plan of activities

3 Messages about Cooperation Actions



01

Cooperation is not only an «Interreg Affair»



02

Mainstream Programs may capitalize many outputs/results



03

National Authority can play an important supporting role

THANK YOU

Viviana Russo

Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri
Dipartimento per le politiche di coesione e per il Sud
Servizio XVIII Coordinamento e Monitoraggio CTE

v.russo@pcm.gov.it